

Volumetric growth of solid bodies: mechanical framework and mathematical aspects

Pavel Plotnikov^{1*}, Jean-François Ganghoffer² and Jan Sokolowski³

¹SBRAS Labrentiev Laboratories. Institute of Hydrodynamics
Lavrentiev Pr. 15 Novosibirsk, Russia
e-mail: pplotnikov@mail.ru

²LEMNA. Nancy Université
2, Avenue de la Forêt de Haye. BP 160. 54054 Vandoeuvre Cedex. France
e-mail: jean-françois.Ganghoffer@ensem.inpl-nancy.fr

³IECN. Université Henri Poincaré Nancy 1
B.P. 70239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France
e-mail: Jan.Sokolowski@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Abstract

General balance laws for solid bodies undergoing growth phenomena are written in the framework of irreversible thermodynamics of open systems. Growth is viewed as a multiphysical phenomenon coupling transport of nutrients with mechanical factors promoting a local variation of the density, at constant number of particles. The growing continuum body is endowed with an hyperelastic constitutive behavior. The entropy production allows the writing of a growth model relating the growth velocity gradient to an Eshelby stress. The PDE system of field equations is analyzed from a mathematical point of view, especially as to the boundedness of solutions.

Keywords: volumetric growth, balance laws, irreversible thermodynamics, configurational mechanics, multiphysical couplings, mathematical aspects

1. Introduction

The kinematics of volumetric growth is first written, assuming growth resulting from a local increase of the mass density at constant particle numbers. Regarding notations, vectors and tensors are denoted by boldface symbols. The inner product of two second order tensors is denoted $(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})_{ij} = A_{ik} B_{kj}$. The kinematics of growth is elaborated from the classical multiplicative decomposition [4] of the transformation gradient

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t) \rightarrow J := \det(\mathbf{F}) \quad (1)$$

with \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{x} the Lagrangian and Eulerian positions respectively in the referential and actual configurations denoted Ω_R, Ω_t respectively, as the product of the growth deformation gradient \mathbf{F}_g and the growth accommodation mapping \mathbf{F}_a

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_a \cdot \mathbf{F}_g \quad (2)$$

The transformation gradients \mathbf{F}_a , \mathbf{F}_g , \mathbf{F} define the mappings of the tangent spaces to the various configurations. The Jacobean of the growth mapping informs about the nature of growth:

$$J_g := \det(\mathbf{F}_g) \quad (3)$$

Hence $J_g > 1$ describes growth, whereas $J_g < 1$ represents resorption. Growth (or resorption) essentially occurs between the referential and the actual configurations, and is traduced by a local change of density.

2. Mass balance

The mass balance in global form expresses in Eulerian description as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D}{Dt} \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho dx &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \pi dx - \int_{\partial\Omega(t)} \{\rho \mathbf{v}_g + \mathbf{J}(\rho)\} \cdot \mathbf{n} dA = \\ &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \pi dx + \int_{\partial\Omega(t)} \phi(\rho) \cdot \mathbf{n} dA = \int_{\Omega_t} \Gamma \rho dx \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

in accordance with [2], denoting therein ρ the actual density, \mathbf{v}_g the growth velocity field, defined as the relative velocity of material points with respect to the moving boundary $\partial\Omega(t)$ (which may have its own geometrical velocity), $\phi(\rho)$ the total mass flux, and π the mass source term. The last equality defines the growth rate of mass as

$$\Gamma = \dot{J}_g / J_g = Tr(\mathbf{D}_g) \quad (5)$$

with $\mathbf{D}_g := \dot{\mathbf{F}}_g \cdot \mathbf{F}_g^{-1}$. Using the convective derivative along an arbitrary velocity field \mathbf{w} of any quantity $a = a(\mathbf{x}, t)$, elaborated as

$$\frac{\delta_w a}{\delta t} = \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \right)_x + \nabla a \cdot \mathbf{w}, \quad (6)$$

the strong form of the mass balance results as

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = \pi + \nabla \cdot \phi(\rho) - \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (7)$$

Adopting the framework of hyperelasticity, the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress \mathbf{P} expresses from the strain energy density per unit volume in the reference configuration $W(\mathbf{F}; \mathbf{X})$ (a possible explicit dependence upon the Lagrangian variable is included for heterogeneous media) as

$$\mathbf{P} := \partial_{\mathbf{F}} W(\mathbf{F}_a; \mathbf{X}) \quad (8)$$

*sponsored during his stay at IECN by a grant from CNRS

The balance of momentum expresses in Eulerian description and using the mass balance as

$$\rho \frac{D\mathbf{v}}{Dt} = \mathbf{f} + \text{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + (\phi \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \quad (9)$$

with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ the Cauchy stress, such that $\mathbf{P} := J\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{-t}$.

3. Local dissipation and growth model

The global form of Clausius-Duhem expresses in Eulerian description as [3]:

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \dot{\psi} dx \leq -P_i + \underline{\mathbf{J}}_k \cdot \underline{\mathbf{F}}_k + \Phi_m \quad (10)$$

with $\Phi_m := - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu_i \mathbf{J}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} d\sigma$

the flux of mass through the boundary of Ω , P_i the virtual power of internal forces. The balance of mass accounting for the biochemical contribution expresses successively in weak and strong forms as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D}{Dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \rho dx &= \int_{\Omega_R} \text{Tr} \left(\dot{\mathbf{F}}_g \cdot \mathbf{F}_g^{-1} \right) \rho J dX = \\ &= \int_{\Omega_R} \rho J \dot{n}_k dX + \int_{\partial\Omega_R} \mathbf{J}_k \cdot \mathbf{N} d\mathbf{A} \quad (11) \\ \rightarrow \rho J \dot{n}_k + \text{Div} \mathbf{J}_k &= \rho J \left(\dot{\mathbf{F}}_g \cdot \mathbf{F}_g^{-1} : \mathbf{I} \right) = \Gamma \rho J \end{aligned}$$

The last equality results from (5). The Coleman-Noll procedure then leads to the constitutive equations for the first Piola-Kirchhoff stress and the chemical potential

$$\mathbf{T} = \rho J \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} \cdot \mathbf{F}_g^{-t}; \mu_k = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n_k} \quad (12)$$

The residual dissipation then splits into the sum of a *chemical dissipation* and the *intrinsic (mechanical) dissipation*

$$0 \leq -\mathbf{J}_i \text{Grad} \mu_i + \rho J \left(\mathbf{F}_a \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} - (\psi + \mu_k) \mathbf{I} \right) : \mathbf{L}_g \quad (13)$$

Following Curie principle, one is then entitled to write a general growth model according to

$$\mathbf{L}_g = f \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_a \right) \quad (14)$$

with the Eshelby stress given by, see [1]

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_a := \rho \mathbf{F}_a \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} - \rho (\psi + \mu_k) \mathbf{I} \quad (15)$$

4. Local dissipation and growth model

Considering as a first step a purely mechanical situation (the source and flux of mass are lumped into global contributions, not relating as before those contributions to biochemical processes), the system of PDEs under consideration writes:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho J) = \Gamma \rho J \\ \text{div} \mathbf{P} = -\rho J \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a = W \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{F}_a \cdot \mathbf{P} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_g}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbf{F}_g^{-1} = f(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

with

$$f(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a) = f_0(I_i) \mathbf{I} + f_1(I_i) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a + f_2(I_i) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^2 \quad (17)$$

according to the representation of isotropic functions with tensorial argument, with I_i the principal invariants of Eshelby stress. In the isotropic case, the growth mapping is isotropic, $\mathbf{F}_g = g \mathbf{I}$,

with g a scalar function, hence $\mathbf{F}_a = g^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{u}$, and the mass growth rate is $\Gamma = 3\dot{g}/g$. The previous system then writes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho J) = \frac{3}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \rho J = C(x) g^3 = \frac{\rho_0 J_0}{g_0^3} g^3 \\ \text{div} \frac{\partial W(g^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} + \rho J \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = f(g^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{u}) g \\ g|_{t=0} = g_0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

The form of the solution to this BVP is studied in the 1D case, according to the possible form of the function $f(g^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{u})$.

Growth may be unbounded, for instance when $f(\cdot) = Cte$, since the growth function g is then solution of the ODE $\dot{g} = g^2$.

Mathematical problem. We present the mathematical treatment of the simplest thermomechanical growth model in the isotropic case under the following assumption: the displacement vector field $\mathbf{u}(X, t)$ and the distortion tensor $\mathbf{F}(X, t) = \nabla \mathbf{u}(X, t)$ are functions of the reference frame coordinate $X \in \Omega \mathbf{R}^d$ and the temporal variable $t \in (0, T)$; \mathbf{F} has the representation $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_a \cdot \mathbf{F}_g$, where \mathbf{F}_a is the part of the distortion tensor corresponding to elastic displacements, and the tensor \mathbf{F}_g is responsible for the growth. Next we assume that $\mathbf{F}_g = g \mathbf{I}$. Hence the evolution of the material is described by the state variables the displacement field $\mathbf{u}(X, t)$, the growth variable $g(X, t)$, and the temperature distribution $\theta(x, t)$. we restrict our considerations by quasistatic case and neglect the inertial forces. Thus we come to the system of governing equations in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div} \left(\frac{\partial W(g^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{u}, \vartheta)}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} \right) &= \mathbf{f} \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t g &= f(\nabla \mathbf{u}, g, \theta) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (19) \\ \partial_t \theta - \kappa \Delta \theta &= D(g, \nabla u, \theta) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_n \theta - \lambda \theta = 0, \quad \frac{\partial W(g^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{u}, \vartheta)}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \quad (20)$$

$$\theta = \theta_0, \quad g = g_0 \text{ in } \omega \times \{t = 0\}. \quad (21)$$

Here f and g are given functions, subjected to the Clausius-Duhem inequality, the tensor derivative is defined by the relation

$$\frac{\partial W(\mathbf{F}_a, \vartheta)}{\partial \mathbf{F}_a} : \mathbf{H} =: \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ W(\mathbf{F}_a + \epsilon \mathbf{H}, \vartheta) - W(\mathbf{F}_a, \vartheta) \right\}. \quad (22)$$

We consider regularization of system (19), with ordinary differential equation for g replaced by the parabolic equation

$$\partial_t g = f(\nabla \mathbf{u}, g, \theta) + \epsilon \Delta g. \quad (23)$$

Under natural assumptions on the functions f and D , we prove the existence of weak solution for the regularized boundary value problem and investigate the singular limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

References

- [1] Ambrosi, D., Guillou, A., 2007. Growth and dissipation in biological tissues. *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 19, 245-251.
- [2] Epstein, M., Maugin, G.A., 2000. Thermomechanics of volumetric growth in uniform bodies. *Int. J. Plasticity* 16, 951-978.
- [3] Ganghoffer, J.F., 2010. Mechanical modeling of growth considering domain variation Part II: volumetric and surface growth involving Eshelby tensors. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmps.2010.05.003.
- [4] Rodriguez, E.K., Hoger, A., McCullogh, A.D., 1994. Stress-dependent finite growth in soft elastic tissues. *J. Biomechanics* 2, 4, 455-467.