

Cahn-Hilliard system for microstructure evolution in viscoelastic solids. Asymptotic behaviour

Irena Pawłow^{1,3} and Wojciech M. Zajączkowski^{2,3}

¹ Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Newelska 6, 01-447 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: pawlow@ibspan.waw.pl

² Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: wz@impan.gov.pl

³ Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty, Military University of Technology, S. Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

In this paper the long-time behaviour of a unique regular solution to the Cahn-Hilliard system coupled with viscoelasticity is studied. The system arises as a model of phase separation process in a binary deformable alloy. It is proved that for a sufficiently regular initial data the trajectory of the solution converges to the ω -limit set of these data. Moreover, it is shown that every element of the ω -limit set is a solution of the corresponding stationary problem.

Keywords: Cahn-Hilliard, viscoelasticity system, phase separation, long-time behaviour.

1. Introduction

We address the issue of the long time behaviour of a system of PDE's describing phase separation process (spinodal decomposition) in binary viscoelastic solids quenched below a critical temperature. The process is driven by thermomechanical effects which lead to microstructure evolution and associated pattern formation. In recent years Cahn-Hilliard systems accounting for elastic effects, known to have a pronounced impact on the phase separation process, have been the subject of many modelling, mathematical and numerical studies, see [1–3] for up-to-date references.

2. Initial-boundary-value problem

The problem under consideration has the form of the following viscoelasticity system coupled with the Cahn-Hilliard equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{tt} - \nabla \cdot [W_{,\varepsilon}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \chi) \\ + \nu \mathbf{A}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_t)] &= \mathbf{b} && \text{in } \Omega^\infty = \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_t(0) = \mathbf{u}_1 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} && \text{on } S^\infty = S \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_t - \Delta \mu &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^\infty, \\ \chi(0) &= \chi_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mu &= 0 && \text{on } S^\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= -\gamma \Delta \chi + \psi'(\chi) + W_{,\chi}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \chi) && \text{in } \Omega^\infty, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \chi &= 0 && \text{on } S^\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary S ; the unknowns are the fields $\mathbf{u} : \Omega^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $\chi : \Omega^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\mu : \Omega^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, representing respectively the displacement vector, the order parameter and the chemical potential; $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T)$ is the linearized strain tensor; functions $W(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \chi)$ and $\psi(\chi)$ are specified below, ν, γ are positive constants.

System (1)–(3) represents balance laws of linear momentum, mass, and the equation for the chemical potential. The associated free energy density has the Landau-Ginzburg form

$$f(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \chi, \nabla \chi) = W(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \chi) + \psi(\chi) + \frac{\gamma}{2} |\nabla \chi|^2,$$

where

$$W(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \chi) = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\varepsilon}(\chi)) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) - \bar{\varepsilon}(\chi)),$$

and

$$\psi = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \chi^2)^2$$

represent respectively the elastic energy and the double-well potential; positive constant γ is related to the surface tension.

The order parameter χ characterizes the material phase. In case of a binary alloy it is related to the volumetric fraction of one of the two phases, characterized by different crystalline structures of the components. It is assumed that $\chi = -1$ is identified with the phase a and $\chi = 1$ with the phase b .

The elasticity tensor $\mathbf{A} = (A_{ijkl})$ and the eigenstrain tensor $\bar{\varepsilon}(\chi) = (\bar{\varepsilon}_{ij}(\chi))$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) &= \bar{\lambda} \text{tr} \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{I} + 2\bar{\mu} \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}), \\ \bar{\varepsilon}(\chi) &= (1 - z(\chi))\bar{\varepsilon}_a + z(\chi)\bar{\varepsilon}_b, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity tensor, $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ are the Lamé constants satisfying $\bar{\mu} > 0$, $3\bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{\mu} > 0$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_a, \bar{\varepsilon}_b$ are constant eigenstrains of phases a, b , and $z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a sufficiently smooth interpolation function such that

$$z(\chi) = 0 \quad \text{for } \chi \leq -1 \quad \text{and} \quad z(\chi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \chi \geq 1.$$

The term $\nu \mathbf{A}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_t)$, with $\nu = \text{const} > 0$, represents a viscous stress tensor; ν is a viscosity coefficient.

3. Global existence

In [2] we have proved that system (1)–(3) admits a unique global solution (\mathbf{u}, χ, μ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in C^1([0, \infty); \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0, \infty); \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)), \\ \chi &\in C([0, \infty); H_N^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L_2(\Omega)), \\ \mu &\in C([0, \infty); H_N^2(\Omega)), \quad \int_{\Omega} \chi(t) dx = \chi_m := \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$,

for initial data satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{u}(0), \mathbf{u}_t(0), \mathbf{u}_{tt}(0), \chi(0), \chi_t(0)) \in \mathcal{W} \\ &:= \{(\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)) \\ &\quad \times (\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)) \times \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) \\ &\quad \times H_N^2(\Omega) \times L_2(\Omega)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_N^2(\Omega) &= \{\xi : \xi \in H^2(\Omega), \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \xi = 0 \text{ on } S\}, \\ \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 dx &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{u}_{tt}(0) =: \mathbf{u}_2, \chi_t(0) =: \chi_1$ are calculated in accord with (1)–(3).

Thus, the solution defines the nonlinear, strongly continuous semigroup

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) : \mathcal{W} \ni (\mathbf{u}(0), \mathbf{u}_t(0), \mathbf{u}_{tt}(0), \chi(0), \chi_t(0)) &=: \zeta_0 \mapsto \\ \zeta(t) := (\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{u}_t(t), \mathbf{u}_{tt}(t), \chi(t), \chi_t(t)) &\in \mathcal{W}, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the above result is based on the Galerkin method. Firstly, the existence of a solution on a finite time interval is proved. Secondly, the solution is prolonged step by step up to ∞ . The crucial role in prolonging play absorbing-type estimates with the property of exponentially time-decreasing impact of the initial data. We use two kinds of such estimates: – the energy estimates derived from the original form of the system, and – the regularity estimates derived from the time-differentiated form of the system.

4. Asymptotic behaviour

In [3] it has been proved that for any initial data belonging to \mathcal{W} the trajectory of the solution converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the ω -limit set of these data.

Moreover, it has been shown that the ω -limit set is compact and connected subset of the space

$$\mathcal{Z} := \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) \times \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) \times \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \times (H^1(\Omega))',$$

and enjoys the standard properties, namely it is positive invariant with respect to semigroup $S(t)$ defined by the solution and the total energy functional is constant on this set. It also has been proved that every element of the ω -limit set is a solution of the corresponding stationary problem.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\zeta_0) &:= \{\zeta_{\infty} = (\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \mathbf{u}_{\infty,t}, \mathbf{u}_{\infty,tt}, \chi_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty,t}) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{Z} : \\ &\quad \exists \{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty), t_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and} \\ &\quad \zeta(t_n) = S(t_n)\zeta_0 \rightarrow \zeta_{\infty} \text{ strongly in } \mathcal{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

denote the ω -limit set of the initial data $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{W}$.

The main result reads as follows.

Theorem. [3] Let $S(t) : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}, t \geq 0$, be the nonlinear semigroup generated by the unique solution of system (1)–(3). Then:

(i) The ω -limit set $\omega(\zeta_0)$ of the initial data $\zeta_0 = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \chi_0, \chi_1) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ is a nonempty, compact and connected subset of the space \mathcal{Z} . Furthermore, $\omega(\zeta_0)$ is positive invariant with respect to $S(t)$, i.e.,

$$S(t)\omega(\zeta_0) \subset \omega(\zeta_0) \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0;$$

(ii) If $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ then the map $F_{\Omega} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Omega}(\zeta(t)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_t(t)|^2 + W(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(t)), \chi(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \psi(\chi(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} |\nabla \chi(t)|^2 \right] dx, \end{aligned}$$

is the Lyapunov functional for the semigroup $S(t)$, i.e.,

$$F_{\Omega}(S(t)\zeta_0) \leq F_{\Omega}(\zeta_0) \quad \text{for any } \zeta_0 \in \mathcal{W}, \quad t \geq 0;$$

F_{Ω} is constant on the ω -limit set $\omega(\zeta_0)$.

(iii) Every element $\zeta_{\infty} = (\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \mathbf{u}_{\infty,t}, \mathbf{u}_{\infty,tt}, \chi_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty,t})$ of the ω -limit set $\omega(\zeta_0)$ is characterized by

$$\zeta_{\infty} \equiv (\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \chi_{\infty}, 0),$$

with functions $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}$ independent of time, solving the stationary problem corresponding to (1)–(3):

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{W}_{,\varepsilon}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_{\infty}), \chi_{\infty}) &= \mathbf{0} && \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}_{\infty} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{a.e. on } S, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\gamma \Delta \chi_{\infty} + \psi'(\chi_{\infty}) &+ W_{,\chi}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_{\infty}), \chi_{\infty}) = \bar{\mu} && \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \chi_{\infty} &= 0 && \text{a.e. on } S, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\infty} dx = \chi_m := \int_{\Omega} \chi_0 dx,$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ is a constant to be determined along with functions $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \chi_{\infty}$.

In the proof of this theorem the key role play absorbing-type estimates established in the existence proof [2]. Due to such estimates analysis similar to that in [4] is applied.

References

- [1] I. Pawłowski, W. M. Zajączkowski, *Strong solvability of 3-D Cahn-Hilliard system in elastic solids*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **31** (2008), 879–914.
- [2] I. Pawłowski, W. M. Zajączkowski, *Global regular solutions to Cahn-Hilliard system coupled with viscoelasticity*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **32** (2009), 2197–2242.
- [3] I. Pawłowski, W. M. Zajączkowski, *Long time behaviour of a Cahn-Hilliard system coupled with viscoelasticity*, Annales Polonici Math. **98**, 1 (2010), 1–21.
- [4] S. Zheng, *Asymptotic behavior of solution to the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, Appl. Anal., **23** (1986), 165–184.